	Case 2:08-cv-00171-JCM-PAL	Document 28	Filed 05/19/09	Page 1 of 2	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DARRYL P. RAINS ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) DRains@mofo.com ERIK J. OLSON ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> ) OLGA A. TKACHENKO ( <i>Pro Hac</i> MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 755 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, California 94304-1018 Telephone: 650.813.5600 Facsimile: 650.494.0792  JAMES J. PISANELLI (SBN 4027) jpisanelli@bhfs.com BROWNSTEIN HYATT FARBER 100 City Parkway, Suite 1600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106 Telephone: 702.382.2101 Facsimile: 702.382.8135  Attorneys for Nominal Defendant	c Vice)			
11	Global Cash Access Holdings, Inc.				
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
13	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA				
14					
15	IN RE GLOBAL CASH ACCESS I INC. DERIVATIVE LITIGATION	HOLDINGS,	Lead Case No. 2	2:07-cv-01659-JCM-PAL	
16	This Document Relates to:		ORDER GRANTING GLOBAL CASH ACCESS		
17	ALL ACTIONS.		DISMISS FOR F	C.'S MOTION TO FAILURE TO COMPLY	
18			WITH RULE 23	.1	
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					

1	Global Cash Access Holdings, Inc.'s motion to dismiss for failure to comply with				
2	Rule 23.1 in the above-referenced action came on for hearing before the Honorable James C.				
3	Mahan on May 14, 2008.				
4	The Court has considered all of the papers filed by the parties in connection with this				
5	motion, the papers and records on file in this action, and received argument from the parties at a				
6	hearing on May 14, 2009.				
7	Plaintiffs' complaint seeks to assert a shareholder derivative action. It therefore must				
8	satisfy Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1, including why plaintiff may proceed when they have				
9	not made a demand on the company's board. The Court concludes pursuant to <i>Braddock v</i> .				
10	Zimmerman, 906 A.2d 776 (Del. 2006) and the other authority cited in the papers that this				
11	obligation must be satisfied in this instance as of the time of plaintiff's amended complaints. The				
12	Court finds that the amended complaint does not allege with particularly facts sufficient to				
13	undermine the ability of a majority of the board of directors to consider a demand. At the				
14	hearing, plaintiffs' counsel indicated an intent to stand on the current complaint.				
15	Accordingly, based on all the papers filed by the parties, the papers and records on file in				
16	this action, the parties' arguments at the hearing on this matter, and other matters of which the				
17	Court may properly take judicial notice, the Court HEREBY ORDERS:				
18	For good cause shown, Global Cash Access Holdings, Inc.'s motion to dismiss pursuant to				
19	Rule 23.1 is GRANTED. The complaint is DISMISSED with prejudice.				
20	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
21	D 1 14 19 2000				
22	Dated: May <u>19</u> , 2009				
23	Xellus C. Mahan				
24	James C. Mahan United States District Judge				
25					
26					
27					
28					